

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/808,988	03/24/2004	Nobutaka Ukigaya	1232-5354	6780	
27123 7	590 01/10/2005		EXAMINER		
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER			THOMPSON,	THOMPSON, TIMOTHY J	
NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

2873

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summans	10/808,988	UKIGAYA, NOBUTAKA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Timothy J Thompson	2873			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2, 4, 5</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate.			
2) ☐ Notice of Dialisperson's Patent Diawing Review (FTO-946) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/2004.		atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 1 states that "a first electrode disposed at least between said partition wall and said first substrate" then claim 5 claims just the opposite of this limitation. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,828,955) in view of Wang et al. (U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2004/0219306).

Regarding claim 1, Yamamoto et al. discloses a first substrate(fig 1, 2) and a second substrate(fig 1, 2) disposed opposite to each other with a spacing, a partition wall for dividing the spacing into a plurality of sections(fig 1, 20), a plurality of electrophoretic particles and an sections(fig 1, 22, 24), a first electrode(fig 1, 4) are disposed in the insulating liquid which disposed at least between said partition wall and said first substrate, and a second electrode(fig 1, 14) disposed on said second

Art Unit: 2873

substrate. Yamamoto et al. does not disclose the first electrode has an adhesive property. However, Wang et al. discloses a first electrode has an adhesive property(the electrodes can be precoated with an adhesive layer para 0093 thus the electrode has an adhesive property). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to precoat the electrode with an adhesive layer as shown by Wang et al., in the electrophoritic display of Yamamoto et al., since as shown by Wang et al. precoating the electrode with an adhesive is commonly done so as to provide a means for adhering the electrode to the electrophoretic structure.

Regarding claim 6, Yamamoto et al. discloses wherein the first electrode is an adhesive sheet disposed to cover the partition wall and the insulating liquid(fig 1).

Regarding claim 7, Yamamoto et al. discloses the Insulating liquid and the plurality of electrophoretic particles are encapsulated in a capsule and disposed in the spacing so that an outer wall of the capsule constitutes the partition wall(fig 1).

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over a as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Hoshikawa et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4,640,583).

Regarding claim 3, Yamamoto et al., as detailed in claim rejection 1 above, does not discloses first substrate has a surface opposite to the first substrate and surface has been subjected to primer treatment. However, Hoshikawa et al. discloses first substrate has a surface opposite to the first substrate and surface has been subjected to primer treatment. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the

Application/Control Number: 10/808,988 Page 4

Art Unit: 2873

invention to use a primer layer on the substrate as shown by Hoshikawa et al., in the electrophoretic display of Yamamoto et al., since as shown by Hoshikawa et al. primer layers are commonly adhered to the surface of a substrate so as to increase the adhesion of the substrate to the opposing surface.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2 and 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. With the allowable features being the specific materials used with the adhesive resin, the first substrate having recesses,

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2342. If the examiner can not be reached his supervisor, Georgia Epps, can be reached on (571) 272-2328.

TIMOTHY THOMPSON PRIMARY EXAMINER